



Re: RE: RE: FW: kink

Bill.Lehr o Marcia K McNutt

05/31/2010 07:08 PM

History: This message has been replied to.

Marcia,

BP just sent me their version. It will be interesting to compare the two sets to see if they are the same.

Bill

----- Original Message -----

From: Marcia K McNutt <mcnutt@usgs.gov>
Date: Monday, May 31, 2010 3:48 pm
Subject: RE: RE: FW: kink
To: Bill.Lehr@noaa.gov

> Here you go Bill. Caveats. I only put on the table the days that they
> reported 24-hour averages. In the first few days of the RITT, they just
> reported some highs for oil production, and what the gas was at that
> time. Also, in the early days of production, they were still trying to
> figure out how to position the tool in the flow to maximize oil
> production rather than gas production. They started getting it right
> later on by ballasting the ship down during the high tide as the riser
> seems to act as a flow separator.

>
>
>
>

> -----
> From: Bill Lehr <Bill.Lehr@noaa.gov> [Lehr
> <Bill.Lehr@noaa.gov>]
> Sent: Monday, May 31, 2010 4:01 PM
> To: Marcia K McNutt <mcnutt@usgs.gov>
> Subject: Fwd: RE: FW: kink

>

> Marcia,

>

> Could I get the RITT daily gas-oil flow rates from you?

>

> Thanks,

>

> Bill

>

> ----- Original Message -----

> Subject: RE: FW: kink
> Date: Mon, 31 May 2010 20:34:38 +0100
> From: Benko, Brittany D [REDACTED]
> <>
> To: Bill.Lehr@noaa.gov

>

>

> Bill,

>

> I spoke with our Incident Commander today and he informed me that we
> have provided the daily RITT flow rate information to Dr. McNutt. I am
> still working on the dates that each leak point appeared. I do know
> that the leak at the top of the BOP was prior to May 1.

>
> Thanks,
> Brit

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Bill Lehr [
> Sent: Monday, May 31, 2010 1:20 PM
> To: Benko, Brittany D
> Subject: Re: FW: kink

>
> Thanks, Brittany

>
> On 5/31/10 10:20 AM, Benko, Brittany D wrote:
> > Bill,

> >
> > I wanted to let you know that I am working on this while both Steve
> > and Jasper are out. I will get you a response as soon as possible.

> >
> > Thanks,
> > Brit

> > -----Original Message-----

> > From: Haden, Steven K
> > Sent: Monday, May 31, 2010 4:35 AM
> > To: Peijs, Jasper
> > Cc: Benko, Brittany D
> > Subject: FW: kink

> >
> > Jasper,

> >
> > I'm back in the UK until tomorrow. Grateful if you could chase the
> > information Bill is requesting.

> >
> > Many thanks,
> > Steve

> > -----Original Message-----

> > From: Bill.Lehr@noaa.gov [
> > Sent: 31 May 2010 03:42
> > To: Haden, Steven K
> > Subject: kink

> >
> > Steve,

> > The FRTG plume team is re-examing the video that we acquired from BP

> > of the kink leak and the riser leak. My understanding is that, at
> > first, there was no kink leak and that all the flow came from the
> > riser. Then there were an increasing number of holes in the kink (the

> > last video seemed to show four). Presumably, flow from the kink
> > would

> > reduce flow out the riser somewhat.

> >
> > To make sure that the group doesn't double count in making its

> > estimates. could you send me:

> >

> > 1) The times of appearance of the various holes in the kink.

> >

> > 2) Measured daily average RITT flow rates since its insertion.

> >

> > Thanks,

> >

> > Bill Lehr, NOAA/ORR

> > Lead, FRTG Plume team>